Protective effectiveness of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection and hybrid immunity against Omicron infection and severe disease: a systematic review and meta-regression

Niklas Bobrovitz^{1,2,3}, Harriet Ware³, Xiaomeng Ma⁴, Zihan Li^{3,5}, Reza Hosseini^{3,6}, Christian Cao^{1,3}, Anabel Selemon³, Mairead Whelan³, Zahra Premji⁷, Hanane Issa⁸, Brianna Cheng¹, Laith J. Abu Raddad⁹, David L. Buckeridge¹⁰, Maria D. Van Kerkhove¹¹, Vanessa Piechotta¹², Melissa M. Higdon¹³, Annelies Wilder-Smith^{14, 15}, Isabel Bergeri Pharm¹¹, Daniel R. Feikin¹⁴, Rahul K. Arora^{3,16}*, Minal K. Patel¹⁴*, Lorenzo Subissi¹¹*

1 Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Calgary; 3 Centre for Health Policy Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto; 5 Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Berkeley; 6 School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia; 7 Libraries, University; 10 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University; 10 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Victoria; 8 Institute of Health Informatics, University; 10 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University; 10 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University College London; 9 Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University; 10 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University College London; 9 Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University College London; 9 Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Population and Global Health, McGill University; 11 Health Emergencies Programme, World Health, John Hopkin's Bloomberg School of Public Health; 14 Department of Immunizations, Vaccines and Biologicals, World Health Organization; 15 Heidelberg Institute of Global Health, University of Heidelberg; 16 Institute of Biomedical Engineering, University of Oxford, United Kingdom.

Introduction

How much protection do I have against COVID-19, if I've been infected? Infected and vaccinated?

If I've been infected is there still benefit to getting vaccinated?

If I have hybrid immunity, do I need to get vaccinated again?

Estimates of protective effectiveness

Prior infection vs. Immune naive

Hybrid immunity vs. Immune naive

Estimates of comparative protective effectivene

Hybrid immunity vs. Prior infection

Hybrid immunity vs. Vaccination

Hybrid immunity vs. Hybrid immunity with fewer vaccine doses

Objective

We systematically reviewed the magnitude and duration of the protective effectiveness of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection and hybrid immunity against infection and severe disease caused by the omicron variant. We compared our estimates to previous estimates of the magnitude and durability of vaccine effectiveness^{1,2}

Methods

Search strategy:

<u>Search dates</u>: 1 Jan 2020 – 1 June 2022. <u>Sources</u>: MEDLINE, Embase, Web of Science, ClinicalTrials.gov, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, WHO COVID-19 database, and Europe PMC. Grey literature, expert recommendations. Study design: Controlled observational studies. <u>Risk of bias assessment</u>: ROBINS-I tool³

Modeling approach:

We used log-odds meta-regression to bound protection between 0% and 100% to model waning protection over time. A random intercept was included for each study. Risk ratios or hazard ratios were converted to the odds ratios. We regressed the log-odds of protection on months since the last immunological challenge (i.e., last vaccine dose or infection).

Outcomes:

Estimates of protective effectiveness against 1) COVID-19 hospitalization or severe disease and 2) any Omicron infection points. at discrete time

We analyzed 11 studies of prior infection and 15 studies of hybrid immunity. **Table 1.** Study characteristics

			• • • • •						•	• • • •		
		P	rior infection st	udies				Hybrid	Immu	nity studies		
			Prior infection vs. immune-naive		/brid immunity v immune-naive	/S.	Hybrid immunity vs. prior infection		'S.	Hybrid immunity vs. hybrid immunity with fewer vaccine doses	Hybrid immunity vaccination	
Number of studies			n=11		n=9		n=7			n=4	n=1	
Median sample size (IQR)		R)	294,900 (83,251, 1,142,605)		317,110 (50,576, 696,439)		130,073 (14,625, 470,984)		984)	75,643 (17,919, 271,664) 38		
Number of estimates		;	97		153		86			6	1	
Estimated	Risk of Bia	S										
Moderate risk of bias		;	27 (28%)		78 (51%)		48 (56%)			1 (17%)	_	
Serious risk of bias			70 (72%)		75 (49%)		38 (44%)			5 (83%)	1 (100%)	
			• • •		on, 2) hybrid first booste Protection after 12 months [95% CI]	r Perc point in pro from mo	centage t change otection n 3 to 12 onths		100 - 75 - 50 -	Any Infection		
Prior infection		1				[95	5% CI]		25 -			
Prior nfection vs naive	Any infection	11	65·2% [52·9-75·9%]	51·2% [38·6-63·7%]	24·7% [16·4-35·5%]		40·5 to -51·9]	(%) u	0 -	0 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8	
Prior nfection vs naive	Hospital or Severe	6	82·5% [71·8-89·7%]	80·1% [70·3-87·2%]	74·6% [63·1-83·5%]		-7·8) to +12·1]	Protectic		Hospitalization or severe disease		
Prior nfection vs naive	Severe	3	80·2% [42·6-95·7%]	82·3% [62·6-92·8%]	85·9% [70·5-94%]		-7·8 to +20·9]	ι.	75 -			
Hybrid immun	ity with a p	rimary se	ries of vaccines	5								
Full vaccine F infection vs Naive	Any infection	7	69·0% [58·9-77·5%]	60·4% [49·6-70·3%]	41·8% [31·5-52·8%]		27·2 to -53·2]		50 -			
Full vaccine Finfection vs Naive	Hospital or Severe	5	96·0% [89·0-98·6%]	96·5% [90·2-98·8%]	97·4% [91·4-99·2%]		+1·3 to +7·4]		25 - 0 -			
Full vaccine - infection vs naive	Severe	3	97% [76-99·7%]	96·5% [90·2-98·8%]	97·4% [91·4-99·2%]		-6·6 to +17·8]		L	0 1 2 3 4 5 Months since last vaccination	6 7 8 n or infection	
Model extrap										— Primary series vs naive		
lybrid immun		İ	1	16 60/						I minury somes vs marve		
Full vaccine - infection vs naive	Any infection	8	68.6% [58.9-76.9%]	46.6% [36.1-57.4%]	_		22·0 to -38·8]			Infection + 1st booster dose v	s naive	
Full vaccine	Hospital	5	97.2%	95.3% [81.9-98.9%]	_	-	-1.8			1st booster dose vs naive		
- infection vs naive	or Severe		[90.0-99.3%]	[01.9-90.970]		[-10·3	to +0·77]			Prior infection vs naive		

Prior infection studies								Hybrid immunity studies					
			Prior infection immune-naiv	5	Hybrid immunity vs. immune-naive		Hybrid immunity vs. prior infection			Hybrid immunity vs. hybrid immunity with fewer vaccine doses	Hybrid immunit vaccination		
Number of studies			n=11		n=9			n=7		n=4	n=1		
Median sample size (IQR)		R)	294,900 (83,25 1,142,605)	51, 317,11	0 (50,576, 696,	439) 13	9) 130,073 (14,625, 4		984) 75,643 (17,919, 271,664)		38		
Number of estimates			97		153		86			6	1		
Estimated	Risk of Bia	S											
Moderate risk of bias		5	27 (28%)		78 (51%)		48			1 (17%)			
Serious ri	isk of bias		70 (72%)		75 (49%)		38	(44%)		5 (83%)	1 (100%)		
Comparison Outcome number of		es, 3) h Total number	• • •		first booster Protection after 12 months [95% CI]		ntage hange ection to 12 ths		00 - 75 - 50 -	Any Infection			
Prior infection						[95%		2	25 -				
Prior Infection vs naive	Any infection	11	65·2% [52·9-75·9%]	51·2% [38·6-63·7%]	24·7% [16·4-35·5%]	-40 [-33·9 to		(%) uc	0	1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8		
Prior Infection vs naive	ection vs Hospital 6		82·5% [71·8-89·7%]	80·1% [70·3-87·2%]	74·6% [63·1-83·5%]	-7 [-20·9 t		Protectic	00 -	Hospitalization or severe disease			
Prior Infection vs naive	Severe	3	80·2% [42·6-95·7%]	82·3% [62·6-92·8%]	85·9% [70·5-94%]	-7 [-12·1 to			′5 -				
Hybrid immuni	ty with a p	rimary se	ries of vaccines										
Full vaccine + infection vs naive	Any infection	7	69·0% [58·9-77·5%]	60·4% [49·6-70·3%]	41·8% [31·5-52·8%]	-27 [-6·4 to		ł	50 -				
Full vaccine + infection vs naive	Hospital or Severe	5	96·0% [89·0-98·6%]	96·5% [90·2-98·8%]	97·4% [91·4-99·2%]	+1 [-4·3 to		2	25 -				
Full vaccine + infection vs naive	Severe	3	97% [76-99·7%]	96·5% [90·2-98·8%]	97·4% [91·4-99·2%]	-6 [-20·9 to			0	1 2 3 4 5 onths since last vaccination	6 7 8 or infection		
*Model extrapo										Primary series vs naive			
Hybrid immuni	•									r minary series vs naive			
Full vaccine + infection vs naive	Any infection	8	68.6% [58.9-76.9%]	46.6% [36.1-57.4%]	_	-22 [-4·3 to				 Infection + 1st booster dose value 	s naive		
Full vaccine + infection vs	Hospital or Severe	5	97.2% [90.0-99.3%]	95.3% [81.9-98.9%]	_	-1 [.] [-10·3 to				 1st booster dose vs naive Prior infection vs naive 			
		5			-					Prior infection vs naive			

Figure 1. Meta-regression plotting protection conferred by vaccination (VE), prior infection (PE), and hybrid immunity (HE)



Results





World Health Organization

Findings

- Prior infection and hybrid immunity both provided greater and more sustained protection against Omicron than vaccination alone.
- Protection remains high for hospitalization or severe disease but wanes quickly against infection
- Individuals with hybrid immunity had the highest and most and durability protection

Recommendations

People should get vaccinated even if they have had a prior infection. But do not purposely get infected to obtain hybrid immunity. A 6-month delay in booster may be justified after the last infection or vaccination for individuals with a known prior infection and full primary series vaccination. A longer delay (12 months) could be justified without losing protection against severe disease for the general population. Given the waning protection for both infection-and vaccine induced immunity against infection, mass vaccination could be timed for roll-out prior to periods of expected increased incidence, such as the winter season.

References

- 1. Feikin DR, Higdon MM, Abu-Raddad LJ, Andrews N, Araos R, Goldberg Y, Groome MJ, Huppert A, O'Brien KL, Smith PG, Wilder-Smith A. Duration of effectiveness of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease: results of a systematic review and meta-regression. The Lancet. 2022 Feb 23.
- 2. Higdon MM, Baidya A, Walter KK, Patel MK, Issa H, Espié E, Feikin DR, Knoll MD. Duration of effectiveness of vaccination against COVID-19 caused by the omicron variant. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2022 Aug 1;22(8):1114-6.
- 3. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, Henry D, Altman DG, Ansari MT, Boutron I, Carpenter JR. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. bmj. 2016 Oct 12;355.

Contact: xiaomeng.ma@mail.utoronto.ca niklas.bobrovitz@mail.utoronto.ca

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by World Health Organization, University of Toronto, University of Calgary, Johns Hopkins, and McGill University.

